

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/743,836		Applicant(s) SAKAI ET AL.	
	Examiner JYOTHSNA A. VENKAT		Art Unit 1619	

All Participants:

(1) JYOTHSNA A. VENKAT.

(2) RICHARD CHIN.

Date of Interview: 29 September 2010

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

as of record

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner informed the attorney that the claims would read better by deleting "non-ionic surfactant" in claims 21 and 22 and rewriting the abstract. Attorney authorized the examiner to amend the claims and rewrite the abstract such that it is in single paragraph by examiners amendment in order to place the application in condition for allowance.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/JYOTHSNA A VENKAT /
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1619

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)